UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,858	10/12/2006	Matthew P.J. Baker	GB 040090	5815
	7590 12/22/201 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		SIVJI, NIZAR N		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/599,858	BAKER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NIZAR SIVJI	2617		

	NIZAR SIVUI	2017					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 December 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FINOT NEFET WAS FIL	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better 		duaina ar aimplifuina th	no issues for				
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	ducing of simplifying the	ie issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allenon-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:							
/George Eng/	/NIZAR SIVJI/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	Examiner, Art Unit 2617						
	.,						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant is arguing that the reference does not teach or disclose "indicating, via a secondary station of the plurality of secondary stations, the estimate level of interest by transmitting a predetermined signal in a preselected one of the plurality of random access slots, the estimate level of interest based on at least a threshold value" However, Heeswyk disclose level of interest (Col 7 L 31) an originating station or subscriber station 28 of interest, can at appropriate intervals transmit a timing signal to the receiving station where (fig. 1 Unit 28 a-n) are secondary station of the plurality of secondary stations where (Col 7 L 15-50) transmission path between a subscriber station 28 and base station 24 as shown in Fig. 1. Sinnarajah disclose (Para 88-91) transmitting a predetermined signal based on level of interest. Further, Salazar disclose (col 4 L 50-65) that the strength value is determined for each carrier in the demodulator 40 and deciding for each time slot whether the strength measure in said time slot exceeds a given threshold value. If the threshold value is exceeded, the channel is provisionally marked as seized. Combining 3 art yield predictable result in a sense that Heeswyk disclose that primary station is interested in communicating with the secondary station based on level of interest where the level of interest is based on predetermined signal as taught by Sinnarajah and further Salazar disclose that channel can be siezed if it exceed the given threshold value which can be refer to as primary station has estimated level of interest in secondary channel based on threshold value where it is specifically mentioned that if the threshold value exceeded the channel is provisionally marked as seized.